No.



9100056

THE UNIVERSE SHAMES OF AND SHAME

Hirginia Agricultural Experiment Station

Colherens, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF Eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, R IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT LETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT.

UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'Madison'

In Eastimony Watercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this 31st day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-two.

Kennel DA Eva Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service Charles Mediza N Secretary of Agriculture

year 11 100

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, DIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MARKE	AGRICULTURE		A	oplication is required in order to
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIET	TY PROTECTIO	N CERTIFICATE	ce Ini	fermine if a plant variety protection rtificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). ormation is held confidential until rtificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION EXPERIMENTAL NO.	OR 3.	VARIETY NAME
Virginia Agricultural Experiment Stat	ion	VA. 85-52-24	M	ladison
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5 PHONE (Include area code)		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and St	ate Univ.		PVI	O NUMBER
104 Hutcheson Hall Blacksburg, VA 24061		(703) 231-3766		9100056
			ļ ļ	
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Botani	icel)		Dec. 26, 1990
<u>Triticum</u> <u>aestivum</u> L.	Gramineae	_	N G	
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION	F	
Wheat, Common		July 23, 1990	E E	
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGA	MIZATION (Corneration per	•	s	Date
Agricultural Experiment Station of the	e Va. Polytech	Inst: & State L	niv E c	Dec. 24,1990 Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION			Ě	:250.00
TI. II MOON ONATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	12. DA	TE OF INCORPORATION	v	Date
			E D	December 7, 1992
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO	SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION	ON AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS		
Carl A. Griffey				
Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences				
Virginia Tech				
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0404		PHONE (Include are	a code): (703) 231-9789
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Foll)	ow INSTRUCTIONS on rever	50)		
 a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. 				1
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety				
d. X Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.				•
e X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownershi	in			
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed		Insinte Partnetine Office		
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "T				
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOL			72 (San each	ion 92(a) of the Plant Kerish
Protection Act.) X YES (If "YES." answer items 16 and 17 bel	low) NO (If "N	O," skip to ilem 18 below)	2. 1000 2001	
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS 1 NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?		ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PI	RODUCTION	BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
X YES NO				·
	▼ Fou	NDATION RE	GISTERED	CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VAI	RIETY IN THE U.S.?			
YES (II "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act	Palent Act. Give dat	a:		
X NO	TI CALENT ACT GIVE DAIL	·		
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MA				
YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates) Sold t	o certified se	ed growers in the	U.S.	by VA. Crop
∐ NO Improv	ement Associat	ion in Fall 1990	and wi	11 be offered for
		rs in 1991. Not		
co. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic see request in accordance with such regulations as may be applied.	ds of this variety will	be furnished with the applic	cation and	l will be reptenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this		ovol nlogs variate, and hal		and the constitute to the state of
unitorin, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled	i to protection under th	e provisions of section 42 of t	lhe Plant '	at the variety is distinct, Variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation here	in can jeopardize prote	ction and result in penalties	i.	
IGNATURE OF APPLICANT, IOWATTES	CAPACITY OR TI	TLE	0	ATE
1 Soll Wa			j	
James R. Nichols	Dean, Col	L. of Agr. & Life	Sci.	12-11-90
IGNATURE OF APPLICANT [Owner(s)]	CAPACITY OR TI			ATE
			ĺ	1

FORM 0890-470 (5-19) Edition of FORM (1-8-37), 7-85, -- 3, white

Wheat 'Madison'

14A. Exhibit A: Origin and Breeding History

Pedigree: 'Abe'//'Blueboy'/Va. 71-54-147/3/Va. 72-54-14

The series of crosses from which Madison was derived was completed in 1976. Va. 71-54-147 (C.I. 17449) is a selection from the cross 'Taylor' *2//'Norin 10'/'Brevor'/3/'Thorne' *6/199-4. The 199-4 parent was the Fift om the cross of 'Asosan'/3/'Supresa'/'Redhart'//'Chancellor'with P55-47.1-5, which was a line in which the leaf rust resistance of Aegilops umbellulata was transferred into 'Chinese Spring'. The mildew resistance of Va. 71-54-147 was derived from Asosan, which carries gene Pm3a. Va. 72-54-14 is a selection from the cross 'Blueboy'/3/'Atlas 50'/1950 Row 223//'Redcoat' or Redcoat sib. The 1950 Row 223 parent had leaf and/or stem rust resistance.

Madison was selected in 1983 as a F_7 headrow, using a modified bulk breeding system. This selection was grown in an observation plot in 1984, and was evaluated in a replicated yield trial in 1985 as entry 24 in test 52. This line, designated as Va. 85-52-24, has been evaluated in the Virginia State Variety Trials since 1986.

A large increase block of Va. 85-52-24, approximately 64 ft. by 66 ft., was planted in 1987, rogued thoroughly for aberrant types, and harvested in 1988. Seed from this block was planted at the Foundation Seed Farm in 1989, and rogued to remove gross off-types. The current lot of Foundation seed (F₁₄ generation), derived from this multiplication, still contains a small percentage of aberrant types, primarily later maturing, taller plants with dense heads and blue-colored glumes. These off-type plants do not exceed 5% of the population, are readily identifiable, and are within acceptable limits for seed certification. Madison is genetically stable in the sense that the variety can be maintained and reproduced via seed without changing its characteristics.

Approximately 368 heads were selected from the 1987-88 increase block for use in establishing an improved lot of Breeder seed. These heads were threshed individually, and grown as headrows in 1988-89. Of the 368 headrows, 310 were saved and planted in individual six-row plots, three feet in length. A sample of seed from each headrow was also used to test each row for seedling reaction to a mixture of two mildew cultures, and to a single race of leaf rust. Of the 310 headrows evaluated, 277 had an intermediate reaction to mildew, and were resistant to leaf rust. Upon consideration of greenhouse and field evaluations, 281 of the 310 plots were harvested and bulked. This Breeder seed of Madison was provided to the Foundation Seed Farm, and will be the source of future seed multiplications. Within the limits of biological expectation, the Breeder seed of Madison is uniform and stable.

PVP APPLICATION NO. 9100056, WHEAT cultivar 'Madison'

Addendum to Exhibit 14A: Origin and Breeding History

Because the initial lot of Foundation Seed of Madison had a small number of variant types (<5%), a purified, homogeneous lot of Breeder Seed was developed, as described previously in Exhibit 14A, and has been used for the past two years to produce Foundation Seed. This seed stock of Madison is genetically stable and uniform in the sense that the variety can be maintained and reproduced via seed without changing its characteristics.

9100056

PVP APPLICATION NO. 9100056, WHEAT cultivar 'Madison'

Addendum to Exhibit 14B: Novelty of Madison Wheat

Madison is uniquely different from all known cultivars; however, Madison is most similar to 'Massey' wheat. Spikes of both cultivars are fusiform, middense, and awnleted. Glumes of both cultivars are white to cream colored, long, and midwide to wide with predominantly oblique shoulders. Kernels of both cultivars are red, soft, midlong, and predominantly ovate with a narrow crease and rounded cheeks. The Phenol reaction of both cultivars is brown (Class IV).

Madison differs from Massey for the following characters. Glumes of Madison have acute beaks, while those of Massey are obtuse. Kernels of Madison have a middeep crease and a midlong brush, while kernels of Massey have a shallow crease and a long brush. Coleoptiles of Madison are white and anthers are yellow, while those of Massey are purple.

Based on tests conducted from 1986 to 1990 (See Tables 1 & 3 in original application), Madison heads two days earlier than Massey, is two inches shorter, has better lodging resistance, and has yielded 3 bu/ac more than Massey. Massey has a slightly higher bushel test weight and better milling and baking quality than Madison.

In recent years (1990-1992), Madison has been moderately susceptible (15% disease severity) to powdery mildew, while Massey is moderately resistant (5% disease severity). Madison has the <u>Lr</u>10 and <u>Lr</u>11 genes for leaf rust resistance, while Massey has either <u>Lr</u>1 or <u>Lr</u>10. Both Massey and Madison are resistant to Wheat Spindle Streak Mosaic Virus. Tests conducted at the USDA-ARS Cereal Rust Laboratory indicate that Massey and Madison do not give the same reaction to a set of stem rust differential cultures RTQQ and RKQS, thus indicating that they have different genes for stem rust resistance.

EXHIBIT C

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY WHEAT (TRITICUM SPP.)

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse.	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station	PYPO NUMBER
ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	9100056
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State Un Blacksburg, VA 24061	Tiversity VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
blacksburg, va 24001	MADISON
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal characte	
Place a zero in first box (e.s. 0 8 9 or 0 9) when number	
I. KIND:	
1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5	= POLISH 6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB
2. TYPE,	1 = SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify)
2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify)	1 2 = HARD
2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specity)	
3. SEASON - HUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	
FIRST FLOWERING	LAST FLOWERING
4. MATURITY (50% Flowering):	
0 6 NO. OF DAYS BARLIER THAN	7 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
0 1 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	8 7 = TYLER 8 = COKER 916
5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head):	
0 9 7 cm. high	
0 7 CM. TALLER THAN	8 7 = TYLER 8 = COKER 916
0 8 CM. SHORTER THAN	7 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
6. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse):	7. ANTHER COLOR:
3 T = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN	1 = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE
8. STEM:	
Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Waxy bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
2 Hairiness of last No hairs on peduncle internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
0 1 (On rachis) 0 3 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground)	2 3 CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AND LEAF BELOW
9. AURICLES:	
Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Hairiness: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Short hairs
O. LEAF:	
Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):	1 Flag leaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
Hairs of first leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Waxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
1 2 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First loaf below flag long)	2 1 CM. LEAF LENGTH (First loof below flag loof):

			710000
ī 1- [2 Density: 1 = LAX 2 = DENSE Middense		ing 2=strap 3=clavate (specify) Fusiform
	3 Awnedness: 1 = AWNLESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED	3 = AWNLETED 4 = AWNE	0
. [Color at maturity: 5 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHE	= RED ER (Specily):	
	0 8 CM. LENGTH	1 1 mm. WIDTH	
[2. GLUMES AT MATURITY: Length: 1 = SHORT (CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) 3 = LONG (CA. 9 mm.) 8.7 mm	2 Width: 1 = NARROY 3 = WIDE (C	O //
2,[Oblique to rounded Shoulder 1 = WANTING 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED shape: 4 = SQUARE 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE	2 Beak: 1 = OBTUSE	2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
. 1	3. COLEOPTILE COLOR:	14. SEEDLING ANTHOCY	'ANIN:
	1 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = PURPLE	1 = ABSENT 2	= PRESENT
1	5. JUVENILE PLANT GROWTH HABIT:		
[2 1 = PROSTRATE 2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = EREC	ст	
1	6. SEED:		
	1 Shape: 1 = OVATE 2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	1 Cheek: 1 = ROUND	ED 2 = ANGULAR
Γ	2 Brush. 1 = SHORT 2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	1 Brush: 1 = NOT CO	DLLARED 2 = COLLARED
Γ	Phenol reaction 1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROW	None to	slightly collared
Ł	4 (See instructions): 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK		•
	Color: 1 = WHITE 2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specify)	
	0 7 MM. LENGTH 0 3 MM. WIDTH	3 8 GM. PER 1000	SEEDS
Ī	7. SEED CREASE:	•	
	Width: = 60% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'	4 I -	R LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
Nai	2 = 80% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS' YOW 2 - MCARL YAS WIDE AS KERNEL 'L ENNI!		LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'
			id Variety by Careal Rust Lat
15		STRIPE RUST	St. Paul, MN
		(Reces)	U LOOSE SMUT
[2	2 POWDERY MILDEW 0 BUNT	2 OTHER (Specify) Wh	<u>eat Spindle Streak Mosaic</u> Vii
19	P. INSECT: (0 = Not Tested, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
	SAWFLY 0 APHID (Bydv.)	O GREEN BUG	O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
	OTHER (Specify) Hessian Fly HESSIAN FLY	2 GP 0 A	1 a 0 c
-	Race: L RACES:	0 0 1 1 =	0 F 0 G
20	INDICATE WHICH VARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S	CUBUITTED.	
	CHARACTER NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	HAME OF VARIETY
-	Plant tillering	Seed size	
-	Leaf size	Seed shape	
-	Leaf color	Coleoptile elongation	
	Leaf carriage	Seedling pigmentation	
		CONTONIC	

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

Madison Wheat

14D. Exhibit D: Additional Description of Madison

Since Madison has not been tested in comparison with any of the six cultivars indicated for wheat in Exhibit C, data on its performance in Virginia over a period of five years (1986-1990) are presented in the tables which follow this section.

Madison was also evaluated in the 1987-88 and 1988-89 Uniform Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery and in the 1988-89 and 1989-90 Uniform Eastern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery. Performance in these nurseries is summarized in the USDA nursery reports compiled by Dr. Harold Bockelman.

Quality evaluations made at the Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory, Wooster, OH, indicate that Madison has good milling and baking properties compared with standard checks. Quality data for Madison are presented in the tables which follow this section.

			G	RAIN Y. bu/ac			
	1990 (6)	1989 (6)	1988 (8)	1987 (6)	1986 (7)	1987-90 (26)	1986-90 (33)
Madison	71	73	87	65	54	74	7 0
Wakefield	69	. 80	93	67	58	77	73
FFR 555W	84	79	91	68		81	
Massey	71	73	77	63	51	71	67
Tyler	69	64	7 1	53	52	64	62
Saluda	70	66	7 1	57	50	66	63
Florida 302	<i>7</i> 6	76	82	64	53	7 5	7 0
Coker 916	67	65	82	62	50	69	65
Coker 983	<i>7</i> 5	71	84	66	51	74	69
Coker 833	75	64	7 5	62	51	69	65
Pioneer 2550	70	64	7 8	53	49	66	63
Pioneer 2555	68	68	84	60		70	·
Pioneer 2548	79	69		er er er er			
FFR 568	7 5	69					
L.S.D. (0.05)	4.0		8.6	6.5	5.1		

TEST WEIGHT lbs/bu 1987 1986 1987-90 1986-90 1990 1989 1988 (31)(7) (24)(4)(6) (8)(6) Madison 58.1 55.9 58.0 55.4 59.6 56.9 57.4 59.6 56.8 Wakefield 57.7 55.7 58.3 55.3 57.3 56.4 FFR 555W 57.9 55.7 58.3 53.8 60.1 58.1 58.5 59.0 57.4 58.9 57.0 Massey 58.8 56.2 56.7 Tyler 57.0 55.5 57.9 54.4 62.2 58.7 59.4 Saluda 57.2 60.2 56.7 60.7 55.9 Florida 302 57.2 54.2 57.9 54.3 59.1 56.5 Coker 916 58.5 55.6 60.3 56.3 57.1 55.8 55.4 59.9 57.8 60.2 57.9 61.3 59.0 59.4 Coker 983 Coker 833 55.6 58.2 56.0 58.6 57.0 57.3 58.0 57.5 55.1 58.9 55.0 60.1 56.6 57.3 Pioneer 2550 57.7 Pioneer 54.8 58.2 54.5 56.3 2555 54.2 Pioneer 58.1 2548 FFR 568 58.3 56.0 L.S.D. (0.05) 1.0 1.2 0.7

[†]The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of tests on which data are based.

			DA	MAR. 3		·	
	1990 (3)	1989 (3)	1988 (6)	1987 (5)	1986 (5)	1987-90 (17)	1986-90 (22)
Madison	26	35	34	40	29	34	33
Wakefield	32	38	39	43	35	38	37
FFR 555W	28	38	40	42		37	
Massey	29	37	37	41	33	36	35
Tyler	32	40	41	44	36	39	39
Saluda	28	38	38	41	33	36	36
Florida 302	30	37	38	42	35	37	36
Coker 916	25	33	34	38	28	33	32
Coker 983	29	37	37	42	33	36	36
Coker 833	33	41	42	44	36	40	39
Pioneer 2550	32	39	41	42	36	39	38
Pioneer 2555	28	37	37	40	w20-5	36	****
Pioneer 2548	30	37			****		
FFR 568	31	38	w				
L.S.D. (0.05)		·.	2.0	1.3	2.0		

PLANT HEIGHT Inches

	1990 1989 (3) (3)	1988 (8)	1987 (6)	1986 (7)	1987-90 (20)	1986-90 (27)
Madison	36 39	41	41	34	39	38
Wakefield	38 41	43	42	35	41	40
FFR 555W	36 38	41	40		39	
Massey	38 41	43	43	36	41	40
Tyler	39 43	45	43	3 <i>7</i>	43	41
Saluda	34 36	39	38	31	37	36
Florida 302	39 41	42	41	33	41	39
Coker 916	34 36	39	<i>37</i>	32	37	36
Coker 983	33 35	36	36	29	35	34
Coker 833	38 41	43	42	34	41	40
Pioneer 2550	37 39	42.	40	32	40	38
Pioneer 2555	36 38	37	40	****	38	
Pioneer 2548	35 36	****				
FFR 568	39 40	<u> </u>				
L.S.D. (0.05)	': <u>,</u>	1.4	1.0	2.0		·

[†]The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of tests on which data are based.

Table 1b. Average Performance of Wheat Cultivars Evaluated in Virginia, 1986-90.†

			LOI	OGING %			Winter Survival %
	1990 (2)	1989 (5)	1988 (7)	1987 (4)	1986 (3)	1987-90 (18)	1988 (1)
Madison	13	9	14	35	0	18	100
Wakefield	14	16	21	29	0	20	100
FFR 555W	8	9	8	25		13	100
Massey	30	23	27	46	1	32	100
Tyler	16	10	11	25	0	16	100
Saluda	11	21	29	43	0	26	100
Florida 302	6		5	35	0	13	25
Coker 916	20	24	19	32	3	24	100
Coker 983	8	10	3	24	0	. 11	73
Coker 833	21	36	11	31	3	25	100
Pioneer 2550	21	15	22	25	0	21	100
Pioneer 2555	7	6	4	15		. 8	100
Pioneer 2548	6	5					
FFR 568	7	7		- '			
L.S.D. (0.05)		••••• •.	14	22			

[†]The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of tests on which data are based.

Table 2. Reaction of Wheat Cultivars to Diseases in Virginia, 1986-90.+

		Powd	Powderv Mildew	ldew			Leaf Ruct	Ringt		8	Soilhorno Wirigos	Virgi	
	·		, %				%			0-51		# * *	
	1990	1989	1988	1987	1986	1990	1988	1987	1986	1990 (1)	1988	1987	1986
Madison	16	9			8	3	j	<u>}</u>	12) C	£ -	}	3
Wakefield	46	7	0	0	rv	9	က	_ 22	. ^	, დ	09	י ע	→
FFR 555W	11	က	∞	4	1	26	14	15		က	: 04	, ,	
Massey	6	4	4	8	П	40	36	82	33	0		0	0
Tyler	42	25	32	32	15	37	45	22	47	ю	10	10	₩
Saluda	56	39	40	40	38	11	15	2	70	Ŋ	100	6	20
Florida 302	17	4	വ	H	₩	4	0	0	₩	4	100	08	86
Coker 916	12	œ	6	7	7	9	T	4	0	က	100	7	17
Coker 983	7	2	က	1	H	38	B	0	4	က	100	17	₽
Coker 833	17	12	18	13	œ	0	rc	R	0	₩	2	₩	2
Pioneer 2550	29	14	30	25	20	26	П	9	₩	4	63	13	63
Pioneer 2555	34	18	28	24	!	4	0	2	1	4	0	0	•
Pioneer 2548	24	10	I		l	₩	ì		1	5	l	l	4 4 4
FFR 568	14	ſΩ		!	. !	7	7	ŀ	ļ	, i	1		-
L.S.D. (0.05)			6	10	6	! !	13		1				

† The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of tests on which data are based.

Table 3a. Soft Wheat Milling and Baking Quality Evaluations for 'Madison' Wheat, 1987-1989†.

	Milling Qual.	Baking Qual.	e	F	Break	St. Gr.	Straight Grade Flour	ght Grade Flour	Micro	Cookie						
	Srade	ž 	ore/ Grade	vrt.	Flour	riour	Flour Ash %	Flour Pro. %	AWRC %	Diameter CM,	Top Grain	Soft. Equiv.	Red Passes	Fria- bility	E.S.I.	Mill- ability
1987 Virginia																
	9.66 B	91,4	Ö	74.4		71.6	.31	7.4	49.4	18.1	9	6.19				
Saluda (Std.)	100 A	100	٧	77.8		70.4	.31	6.9	54.3	18.4	9	68.4				
	101.8 A	98.7	В	73.3		71.3	tů.	6.7	53	18.1	9	67.7				
	101.6 A	94.6	С	76.4		71.7	.33	7.5	53.5	18.2	9	65.4				
				1.17		0.8	0.035	0.56	1.49	0.25	2.06	3.7				
1988 Virginia																
	97 B	117.4	¥	61.1	25.7	76.9	.39	9.93	51.3	18.36	5		7	28.6	10.6	110.4
Saluda (Std.)	100 A	100	٧	63.2	29.1	76.4	.37	2.6	54.4	17.73	2		7	27.9	10.3	111.1
	97.1 B	91.9	U	61.8	27.3	76.1	96.	9.34	52.1	17.44	4		7	27.6	11.2	108.7
				0.58	0.748	0.90	0.02	0.54	1.74	0.24	0.83			0.33	0.95	9.22
1989 Virginia																
	102.2 A	97.2	Д	75.1		76.2		7.94	50.1	17.54	. 9	60.2				
Massey (Std.)	100.0 A	100.0	Æ	7.97		75.4		8.87	55.6	17.9	4	59.8				
	99.1 B	106.9	₹	75.0		75.3		7.61	55,3	18.05	5	60.7				
				1.155		0.81		0.718	1.529	0.239		3.216				
			1] -											

+ Milling and baking evaluations performed by the USDA Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory at Wooster, OH.

Table 3b. Soft Wheat Milling and Baking Quality Evaluations for 'Madison' Wheat, 1988-1989+.

	Milling Qual.	Baking Qual.					Straight Grade Flour	Micro	Cookie						
Entry	Score/ Grade		Je Wt.	ri Flour Yield	Yield	Flour Ash %	Flour Pro. %	AWRC	Diameter CM.	Top Grain	Soft. Equiv.	Red Passes	Fria- bility	E.S.I.	Mill- ability
1988 Uniform Southern Nursery	Southern Nt	irsery													
Madison	94.1 C	26	B 61.4	1 26.7	77.4	4	8.84	49.6	18.2	4		7	29.5	9.8	114.8
FLA 302 (Std.)	100 A	100	A 61.3	3 30.3	77.8	88.	8.23	51.8	18.3	r.		7	29.9	8.6	123.7
Tyler	92.5 C	96.8	B 60.5	5 29.8	76.4	38	8.09	51.5	18.27	4		7	28.6	10.6	110.4
LSD			0.56	5 0.778	0.91	0.02	0.46	1.66	0.25	2.08			0.35	0.79	10.27
1989 Uniform Southern Nursery	outhern Nr	ırsery			_										
Madison			58.3	3 32.9	77.1	.39	8.7	48.8	17.4			7	30.2	9.5	
Tyler			58.6	5 34.8	75.4	36	8.5	51.6	17.2			7	28.6	11.2	
1989 Uniform Eastern Nursery	astern Nur	Sery													
Madison			58.9	9 31.9	76.6	-39	9.1	48.9	17.7			7	29.2	10.1	
Cardinal			58.5	35.1	76.8	36	8.6	51.6	17.6			7	29.1	9.5	

† Milling and baking evaluations performed by the USDA Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory at Wooster, OH.

9100056

PVP APPLICATION NO. 9100056, WHEAT cultivar 'Madison'

14E. Exhibit E: Basis of Applicant's Ownership

The owner of Madison wheat is the Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University of which the Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station is a part. Employees charged with developing this new cultivar as a condition of their employment understand that ownership rests with Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University pursuant to university policy on intellectual property.